

## **EDITORIAL POLICY**

Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research (YUJOR) is an international journal dealing with all aspects of operations research, systems science, and management science. YUJOR publishes refereed papers describing significant results in the above areas whether theoretical or empirical, mathematical or descriptive. The international composition of YUJOR's Editorial Board particularly welcomes manuscripts devoted to applications involving novel ideas and relations with other relevant disciplines such as artificial intelligence, soft computing and computer science.

YUJOR is open access journal that publishes original research and review papers. YUJOR targets interdisciplinary oriented scientists and practitioners, including but not limited to OR specialists, applied mathematicians and engineers, economists and business administrators, military specialists and entrepreneurs.

Contributions to YUJOR shall be submitted in English.

YUJOR is issued quarterly. It is also the Journal's policy to publish special issues that contain papers presented at selected international conferences with the same status as current issues in terms of the blind review.

YUJOR is included in IFOR's list of primary journals, and is abstracting and indexing in

- Scopus
- SJR rank (Q rank)
- Directory of Open Access Journals DOAJ
- doiSerbia
- International Abstracts in Operations Research
- Mathematical Reviews
- Zentralblatt für Mathematik
- Referativnyi Zhurnal
- EBSCO

Digital copies of the journal are archived in the Digital Repository of the National Library of Serbia.

# **EDITORIAL RESPONSIBILITIES**

The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which articles submitted to Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research will be published. The decisions are made based exclusively on the manuscript's merit. They must be free from any racial, gender, sexual, religious, ethnic, or political bias. When making decisions the Editor-in-Chief is also guided by the editorial policy and legal provisions relating to defamation, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

Area Editors are experts in the fields of research they serve, experienced in the peer review process, ambassadors for Open Science, and active participants in their communities. Area Editors lead the subject sections within the journal scope. The Editor-in-Chief assigns submitted manuscripts to Area Editors, according to their expertise. Area Editor manages the progression of manuscripts by communicating with authors and peer reviewers, regarding manuscript reviews and revisions.

Members of the Editorial Board including the Editor-in-Chief and Area Editors must hold no conflict of interest with regard to the articles they consider for publication. Members who feel they might be perceived as being involved in such a conflict do not participate in the decision process for a particular manuscript.

The Editors-in Chief reserve the right to decide not to publish submitted manuscripts in case it is found that they do not meet relevant standards concerning the content and formal aspects. The Editorial Staff will inform the authors whether the manuscript is accepted for publication within seven-eight months from the date of the manuscript submission.

Editors and the editorial staff must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in unpublished materials shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

Editors and the editorial staff shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that the authors/reviewers remain anonymous during and after the evaluation process in accordance with the type of reviewing in use.

### **AUTHORS' RESPONSIBILITIES**

Authors warrant that their manuscripts are their original work, that they have not been published before and are not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Parallel submission of the same paper to another journal constitutes misconduct and eliminates the manuscript from consideration by Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research.

In case a submitted manuscript is a result of a research project, or its previous version has been presented at a conference in the form of an oral presentation (under the same or similar title), detailed information about the project, the conference, etc. shall be provided in Acknowledgement, before the References. A paper that has already been published in another journal cannot be reprinted in Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research.

It is the responsibility of each author to ensure that papers submitted to Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research are written with ethical standards in mind. Authors affirm that the article contains no unfounded or unlawful statements and does not violate the rights of third parties. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.

By submitting a manuscript, the authors agree to abide by the Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research's Editorial Policies.

### Reporting standards

A submitted manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit reviewers and, subsequently, readers to verify the claims presented in it. The deliberate presentation of false claims is a violation of ethical standards.

Authors are exclusively responsible for the contents of their submissions and must make sure that they have permission from all involved parties to make the data public.

Authors wishing to include figures, tables or other materials that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright holder(s). Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors.

# Authorship

Authors must make sure that only contributors who have significantly contributed to the submission are listed as authors and, conversely, that all contributors who have significantly contributed to the submission are listed as authors. If persons other than authors were involved in important aspects of the research project and the preparation of the manuscript, their contribution should be acknowledged in a footnote or the Acknowledgments section.

# Acknowledgment of Sources

Authors are required to properly cite sources that have significantly influenced their research and their manuscript. Parts of the manuscript, including text, equations, pictures and tables that are taken verbatim from other works must be clearly marked, e.g. by quotation marks accompanied by their location in the original document (page number), or, if more extensive, given in a separate paragraph. Information received in a private conversation or correspondence with third parties in reviewing project applications, manuscripts, and similar materials, must not be used without the express written consent of the information source.

Full references of each quotation (in-text citation) must be listed in the separate section (References) in a uniform manner, according to the citation style used by the journal. References section should list only quoted/cited, and not all sources used for the preparation of a manuscript.

# **Plagiarism**

Plagiarism, where someone assumes another's ideas, words, or other creative expression as one's own, is a clear violation of scientific ethics. Plagiarism may also involve a violation of copyright law, punishable by legal action.

Plagiarism includes the following:

- Word for word, or almost word for word copying, or purposely paraphrasing portions of another author's work without clearly indicating the source or marking the copied fragment (for example, using quotation marks);
- Copying equations, figures or tables from someone else's paper without properly citing the source and/or without permission from the original author or the copyright holder.

Please note that all submissions are thoroughly checked for plagiarism.

Any paper which shows obvious signs of plagiarism will be automatically rejected and put on the "black" list- rejection of whichever paper the author can submit.

In case plagiarism is discovered in a paper that has already been published by the journal, it will be retracted in accordance with the procedure described below under Retraction policy, and authors will be blacklisted.

# Conflict of interest

Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might have influenced the presented results or their interpretation.

# Fundamental errors in published works

When authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the Editor-in-Chief and cooperate with him/her to retract or correct the paper.

# **REVIEWERS' RESPONSIBILITIES**

Reviewers are required to provide written, competent and unbiased feedback in a timely manner on the scholarly merits and the scientific value of the manuscript.

The reviewers assess manuscript for the compliance with the profile of the journal, the relevance of the investigated topic and applied methods, the originality and scientific relevance of information presented in the manuscript, the presentation style and scholarly apparatus.

Reviewers should alert the Editor-in-Chief or Area Editor to any well-founded suspicions or the knowledge of possible violations of ethical standards by the authors. Reviewers should recognize relevant published works that have not been cited by the authors and alert the Editor-in-Chief or Area Editor to substantial similarities between a reviewed manuscript and any manuscript published or under consideration for publication elsewhere, in the event they are aware of such. Reviewers should also alert the Editor-in-Chief or Area Editor to a parallel submission of the same paper to another journal, in the event they are aware of such.

Reviewers must not have conflict of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the funding sources for the research. If such conflicts exist, the reviewers must report them to the Editor-in-Chief or Area Editor without delay.

Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the Editor-in-Chief or Area Editor without delay.

Reviews must be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Reviewers must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

#### PEER REVIEW

The submitted manuscripts are subject to a peer review process. The purpose of peer review is to assists the Editor-in-Chief in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author it may also assist the author in improving the paper. Reviews are blind-peer review with two, or more, reviewers working without any fee. As it is difficult to get an appropriate reviewer, we usually agree to dates which suit the referee, though not longer than four months.

In the main review phase, the Editor-in-Chief assigns submitted manuscripts to Area Editors, who then send manuscripts to two experts in the field to review. The reviewers' evaluation form contains a checklist in order to help referees cover all aspects that can decide the fate of a submission. In the final section of the evaluation form, the reviewers must include observations and suggestions aimed at improving the submitted manuscript; these are sent to authors, without the names of the reviewers.

The choice of reviewers is at the Editors' discretion. The reviewers must be knowledgeable about the subject area of the manuscript; they must not be from the authors' own institution and they should not have recent joint publications with any of the authors.

All of the reviewers of a manuscript act independently and they are not aware of each other's identities. If the decisions of the two reviewers are not the same (accept/reject), the Editor may assign additional reviewers, or he can bring the decision himself.

During the review process Editor may require authors to provide additional information (including raw data) if they are necessary for the evaluation of the scholarly merit of the manuscript. These materials shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

The Editorial team shall ensure reasonable quality control for the reviews. With respect to reviewers whose reviews are convincingly questioned by authors, special attention will be paid to ensure that the reviews are objective and high in academic standard. When there is any doubt with regard to the objectivity of the reviews or quality of the review, additional reviewers will be assigned.

### PROCEDURES FOR DEALING WITH UNETHICAL BEHAVIOUR

Anyone may inform the Editors and/or Editorial Staff at any time of suspected unethical behaviour or any type of misconduct by giving the necessary information/evidence to start an investigation.

# Investigation

- Editor-in-Chief will consult with the Area Editors on decisions regarding the initiation of an investigation.
- During an investigation, any evidence should be treated as strictly confidential and only made available to those strictly involved in investigating.
- The accused will always be given the chance to respond to any charges made against them
- If it is judged at the end of the investigation that misconduct has occurred, then it will be classified as either minor or serious.

### Minor misconduct

Minor misconduct will be dealt directly with those involved without involving any other parties, e.g.:

- Communicating to authors/reviewers whenever a minor issue involving misunderstanding or misapplication of academic standards has occurred.
- A warning letter to an author or reviewer regarding fairly minor misconduct.

# Major misconduct

The Editor-in-Chief, in consultation with Area Editor, and, when appropriate, further consultation with a small group of experts should make any decision regarding the course of action to be taken using the evidence available. The possible outcomes are as follows (these can be used separately or jointly):

- Publication of a formal announcement or editorial describing the misconduct.
- Informing the author's (or reviewer's) head of department or employer of any misconduct by means of a formal letter.
- The formal, announced retraction of publications from the journal in accordance with the Retraction Policy (see below).
- A ban on submissions from an individual for a defined period.
- Referring a case to a professional organization or legal authority for further investigation and action.

When dealing with unethical behaviour, the Editorial Staff will rely on the guidelines and recommendations provided by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): <a href="http://publicationethics.org/resources/">http://publicationethics.org/resources/</a>.

### RETRACTION POLICY

Legal limitations of the publisher, copyright holder or author(s), infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submissions, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or any major misconduct require retraction of an article. Occasionally a retraction can be used to correct errors in submission or publication. The main reason for withdrawal or retraction is to correct the mistake while preserving the integrity of science; it is not to punish the author.

Standards for dealing with retractions have been developed by a number of library and scholarly bodies, and this practice has been adopted for article retraction by KOBSON: in the electronic version of the retraction note, a link is made to the original article. In the electronic version of the original article, a link is made to the retraction note where it is clearly stated that the article has been retracted. The original article is retained unchanged save for a watermark on the PDF indicating on each page that it is "retracted."

### **OPEN ACCESS POLICY**

Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research is an Open Access Journal. All articles can be downloaded free of charge in accordance with Licence Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Serbia (CC BY-NC-SA) (<a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/rs/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/rs/</a>).

The journal does not charge any fees at submission, reviewing, and production stages.

# Self-archiving Policy

The Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research allows authors to deposit Publisher's version/PDF in an institutional repository and non-commercial subject-based repositories, such as PubMed Central, Europe PMC or arXiv or to publish it on Author's personal website (including social networking sites, such as ResearchGate, Academia.edu, etc.) and/or departmental website, no earlier than six months after the article is published online, in accordance with the Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Serbia (CC BY-NC-SA). Full bibliographic information (authors, article title, journal title, volume, issue, pages) about the original publication must be provided and a link must be made to the article's DOI.

# Copyright

Authors retain copyright of the published papers and have the right to use the article in the ways permitted to third parties under the Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Serbia (CC BY-NC-SA).

The Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Serbia allows to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, remix, transform, and build upon it for non-commercial purposes, as long as appropriate credit is given to the original author(s), a link to the license is provided, it is indicated if changes were made and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

Authors grant to the publisher the right to publish the article, to be cited as its original publisher in case of reuse, and to distribute it in all forms and media.

### **DISLAIMER**

The views expressed in the published works do not express the views of the Editors and Editorial Staff. The authors take legal and moral responsibility for the ideas expressed in the articles. Publisher shall have no liability in the event of issuance of any claims for damages. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.